Prevention of Meningococcal Disease: Current Use of Polysaccharide and Conjugate Vaccines

Gregory A. Poland

Mayo Vaccine Research Group, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota

Invasive meningococcal disease (IMD), although uncommon, is difficult to diagnose and can be rapidly fatal, even in healthy young persons. IMD is cyclic, and serogroups responsible for disease vary by age group, although the prevalence of the serogroups changes over time and by geographical location. Two quadrivalent vaccines are licensed in the United States to prevent IMD caused by serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135, and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends routine vaccination with quadrivalent menin-gococcal conjugate vaccine of adolescents 11–18 years of age and vaccination of persons 2–55 years of age who are at elevated risk of IMD. Efforts to prevent IMD remain challenging, because there is neither an immunogenic vaccine for infants nor a vaccine to prevent serogroup B disease that is currently licensed. Obstacles to achieving optimal vaccine coverage among adolescents persist, and strategies are needed to address these shortcomings.

Although widespread use of conjugate vaccines has dramatically reduced the incidence of meningitis caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae [1] and Haemophilus influenzae type b [2], Neisseria meningitidis remains a leading cause of meningitis and septicemia in the United States. Invasive meningococcal disease (IMD) caused by N. meningitidis is relatively uncommon, but the consequences can be devastating. Even when treated in an otherwise healthy person, IMD can be fatal within 48 h. Case-fatality rates exceed 10%, and up to 20% of survivors sustain permanent sequelae, including neurologic complications, loss of limbs, hearing loss, and paralysis [3, 4]. This review summarizes current recommendations for vaccine prevention of meningococcal disease and strategies to improve vaccine coverage among adolescents.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Humans are the sole host of *N. meningitidis*, which colonizes the nasopharynx and spreads through direct

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2010; 50:S45–S53

© 2010 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2010/5005S2-0003\$15.00 DOI: 10.1086/648964 contact with respiratory secretions. Most IMD in the United States is caused by endemic disease, although the frequency of local outbreaks is increasing. The incidence of IMD is now ebbing, but meningococcal disease occurrence is cyclical and may affect as many as 3000 persons in the United States annually during peak periods [5]. The cyclical nature of IMD and the risk of local outbreaks underscore the need to remain vigilant about promoting prevention behaviors and immunization practices, even when incidence is low.

Currently, *N. meningitidis* serogroups A, B, C, Y, and W-135 are the most important clinically (Table 1) [6]. The serogroups responsible for meningococcal disease vary by age and can change rapidly, making coverage against as many serogroups as possible crucial to a successful vaccine strategy. For example, the occurrence of W-135 disease in Hajj pilgrims in 2000 resulted in global outbreaks when they returned home, even though many had been vaccinated against serogroups A and C. In the United States, the proportion of cases caused by serogroup Y increased from 2% to 37% from the early 1990s to the early 2000s [5].

The incidence of meningococcal disease is highest among children <2 years of age, adolescents, and the elderly, with the highest mortality occurring among infants and teens (Figure 1) [7]. About half of meningococcal disease in infants is caused by serogroup B,

Reprints or correspondence: Dr Gregory A. Poland, 200 First St SW, 611C Guggenheim Bldg, Rochester, MN 55905 (poland.gregory@mayo.edu).

Serogroup	Characteristics	
A	 Leading cause of epidemic meningitis worldwide Most prevalent serogroup in Africa and China Rare in Europe and the Americas 	
В	 Major cause of endemic disease in Europe and the Americas No vaccine available 	
С	 Major cause of endemic disease in Europe and North America Multiple outbreaks in schools and communities 	
Y	 Associated with pneumonia, particularly in the elderly Increased during the 1990s in the United States Has become more common among infants and adolescents in recent years 	
W-135	 Small percentage of infections worldwide Outbreaks associated with Hajj pilgrims starting in 2000 	

NOTE. Derived from [6].

and 75% of disease occurring in persons >11 years of age is caused by C, Y, or W-135 [8, 9]. A multivariate analysis identified household crowding, recent respiratory illness, active and passive smoking, new school or residence, and Medicaid insurance as risk factors for IMD [5]. Local outbreaks of IMD have been associated with social and behavioral risk factors, such as bar patronage, alcohol use, and active and passive smoking [10, 11].

CURRENT VACCINES TO PREVENT MENINGOCOCCAL DISEASE

Two vaccines are licensed for use in the United States to prevent meningococcal disease, a quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine and a quadrivalent conjugate vaccine (Table 2).

Quadrivalent Meningococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine (MPSV4)

Composition. MPSV4, licensed in the United States in 1981, contains 50 μ g each of 4 purified bacterial capsular polysaccharides (A, C, Y, and W-135) [12]. MPSV4 is administered as a single dose subcutaneously and is available in single- or 10-dose vials. It is indicated for prophylaxis of meningitis against serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135; MPSV4 is not indicated for children <2 years of age, except for short-term protection against serogroup A in infants >3 months of age [12]. Currently, no vaccine is licensed in the United States for protection against infection caused by *N. meningitidis* serogroup B.

Immunogenicity and efficacy. Antibody responses to meningococcal serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135 are serogroup specific. The efficacy of *N. meningitidis* serogroup A polysaccharide was 89%–100% in clinical trials [13, 14]. Infants as young as 3 months develop antibodies to serogroup A polysaccharide vaccine, with short-term protection [15]. Serogroups A and C polysaccharides had efficacy of ~85% in clinical trials in settings where meningococcal disease is epidemic [16]. In infants and young children, the duration of vaccine protection is short against disease caused by polysaccharide serogroups A and C. In children <4 years of age, vaccine efficacy was shown to decrease from 100% to 8% in 3 years [17]. Vaccines using polysaccharides from serogroups Y and W-135 were immunogenic in children >2 years of age [18, 19].

Safety. Meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines have been used safely in a wide range of contexts, including during outbreaks and broad vaccination efforts and in travelers. Systemic or severe reactions to polysaccharide vaccine are uncommon, and most common adverse reactions are mild, such as pain and redness at the injection site [5].

Meningococcal Conjugate Vaccines

Although meningococcal polysaccharides can stimulate a Blymphocyte response leading to functional antibody, they fail to stimulate T lymphocytes (Table 2). As a result, the response to polysaccharide antigen is short-lived and incapable of generating an anamnestic response when the recipient is later exposed to the same antigen. In addition, meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines are poorly immunogenic in infants and young children. The immune response to bacterial polysaccharide antigens can be enhanced by coupling them to a protein carrier, leading to T lymphocyte-dependent responses. Conjugate vaccines are generally believed to have greater immunogenicity and are capable of providing persistence and an anamnestic response. In contrast to polysaccharide vaccines, meningococcal conjugate vaccines are capable of reducing nasopharyngeal carriage of N. meningitidis, which is essential to herd immunity [20-22].

In the United Kingdom, experience with monovalent meningococcal conjugate vaccines against serogroup C showed that they are able to successfully decrease the incidence and carriage of *N. meningitidis*. In 1999, several conjugate vaccines were introduced on the basis of safety and immunogenicity data, although none had been evaluated for clinical efficacy [23]. In 2002, with >80% coverage, vaccine effectiveness was shown to be 88%–98%, and carriage decreased by 66% [24, 25]. In the context of high background population coverage with conjugate vaccine, the incidence of meningococcal disease among unvaccinated persons 1–17 years of age decreased by 67% [22].

Quadrivalent conjugate vaccine (MCV4). A quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine was licensed in the United States in 2005 (Table 3). Each dose contains 4 μ g each of capsular polysaccharides (A, C, Y, and W-135) conjugated to

48 μ g of diphtheria toxoid. MCV4 is available in single-dose vials only [26] and is administered intramuscularly.

Immunogenicity and efficacy. The immunogenicity of MCV4 was compared with that of MPSV4 in a randomized trial involving persons 11-18 years of age [27]. Immunogenicity was assessed by a serum bactericidal assay using baby rabbit complement (rSBA). For this immunologic correlate of protection, the criterion for establishing efficacy was a \geq 4-fold increase in rSBA level. The percentage of participants with at least a 4-fold increase in rSBA level 28 days after vaccination with MCV4 was 96.7%, 92.7%, 91.7%, and 81.8% for serogroups W-135, A, C, and Y, respectively. In another randomized trial comparing the immunogenicity of the polysaccharide vaccine with that of the conjugate vaccines in persons 18-55 years of age, the percentage of participants with at least a 4-fold increase in rSBA level 28 days after vaccination with conjugate vaccine was 89.4%, 80.5%, 88.5%, and 73.5% for serogroups W-135, A, C, and Y, respectively [28]. The efficacy of MCV4 was compared with that of MPSV4 in a randomized trial involving children aged 2-10 years [29]. The proportion of those seronegative at baseline who developed a ≥4-fold increase in rSBA level 28 days after vaccination was significantly higher in the MCV4 group than in the MPSV4 group for serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135 (*P* < .05 for all).

Safety. In randomized trials, MCV4 was generally well tolerated, with a safety profile similar to that of MPSV4. Vaccinerelated serious adverse events were uncommon, and similar rates of mild, local and systemic events were observed in the 2 vaccine groups. Fever (temperature, >37.7°C) was reported more frequently among MCV4 recipients aged 11–18 years or 18–55 years than among MPSV4 recipients aged 11–18 years (5.1% vs 3.1%) or 18–55 years (1.5% vs 0.5%) [27, 28]. Among

Table 2.Characteristics of Meningococcal Polysaccharide andProtein-Conjugate Vaccines

Property	Polysaccharide	Conjugate
T cell-dependent response	No	Yes
Immune memory	No	Yes
Persistence of protection	No	Yes ^a
Booster effect	No	Yes
Reduction of carriage	No	Yes
Herd immunity	No	Yes

NOTE. Derived from [6].

^a Duration of persistence of protection is currently unknown.

persons 11–18 years of age, local adverse reactions were more common among those who received MCV4 than among those who received MPSV4. Thirteen percent of persons administered MCV4 reported movement-limiting pain in the injection arm, compared with 3% of those administered MPSV4.

As of 2008, with >15 million doses of the MCV4 vaccine distributed, the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System received 26 reports of confirmed cases of Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) occurring within 6 weeks after receipt of vaccine [30]. These data are not sufficient to establish an increased risk of GBS associated with MCV4. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends continuation of current vaccination strategies as it investigates these cases. A personal history of GBS is a relative contraindication to administration of MCV4, and caution should be used for persons with a first-degree family member history of GBS [26, 31].

Meningococcal conjugate vaccine strategies. The potential public health impact of meningococcal conjugate vaccines has been studied using predictive models. In the absence of pop-



Figure 1. Number of deaths due to meningococcal disease and mortality rates, by age, United States, 1990–2002 [7]. The graph inset shows singleyear age categories. Image used with permission from *Pediatric Infectious Diseases Journal*, 2006; 25:191–194. Copyright © 2006 Wolters Kluwer Health.

Table 3. Meni	ngococcal Va	cines Licen	sed in the	United States
---------------	--------------	-------------	------------	---------------

Characteristic	MPSV4	MCV4
US proprietary name	Menomune-A/C/Y/W-135	Menactra
Manufacturer	Sanofi Pasteur	Sanofi Pasteur
Polysaccharide components	A, C, W-135, Y	A, C, W-135, Y
Conjugate carrier	None	Diphtheria toxoid
Adjuvant	None	None
Preservative	Thimerosal	None
How supplied	Powder for solution (0.05 mg and 0.5 mg)	Suspension (16 μ g/0.5 mL)
Route of administration	Subcutaneous only	Intramuscular only
Recommendations	Persons aged 2–55 years if MCV4 is unavailable, children aged 2–10 years with a history of GBS, persons >55 years of age	All adolescents aged 11–18 years, persons aged 2–55 years who are at increased risk
Dose	0.5 mL as a single dose, formulated to contain 50 μg each of A, C, Y, and W-135 of polysaccharide	0.5 mL as a single dose formulated to contain 4 μg each of A, C, Y, and W-135 of polysaccharide and 48 μg of diphtheria toxoid carrier
Revaccination	Persons aged 2–55 years who remain at increased risk for meningococcal disease 5 years after vac- cination with MCV4 or MPSV4 should be revac- cinated with MCV4; children who received their first MCV4 or MPSV4 at age 2–6 years and re- main at risk should be revaccinated with MCV4 3 years after their first vaccine; college freshmen living in dorms who were previously vaccinated at age 11–18 years are not recommended for re- vaccination; use MCV4 for revaccination	Persons aged 7–55 years who remain at increased risk for meningococcal disease 5 years after vac- cination with MPSV4 should be revaccinated with MCV4; children at high risk who received their first dose at ages 2–6 years should be re- vaccinated after 3 years
Precautions	Do not give with whole-cell pertussis or typhoid vaccines because of combined endotoxin content	Defer if acute moderate-to-severe febrile illness, ^a bleeding disorder or concomitant anticoagulant therapy, history of GBS in first-degree relative
Contraindications	Acute illness, ^a sensitivity to vaccine components or thimerosal, ^b sensitivity to latex (used in vial stopper)	Hypersensitivity to vaccine components, history of GBS, known hypersensitivity to latex (used in vial stopper)
Pregnancy category	С	С

NOTE. Derived from [5, 12, 26]. GBS, Guillain-Barré syndrome; MCV4, quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine; MPSV4, quadrivalent meningococcal polysaccharide diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine.

^a Mild diarrheal or respiratory illnesses are not a contraindication to vaccination.

^b In persons sensitive to thimerosal, administer with a single-dose using a 0.78-mL vial of preservative-free diluent.

ulation-based data on outcomes of vaccination programs, these models have been informative in identifying optimal immunization strategies. One study predicted a reduction in the incidence of IMD-related disease and mortality with use of several strategies in models of bivalent serogroup C and Y meningococcal conjugate vaccination [32]. Vaccination of infants, children, and adolescents reduced the cumulative incidence of meningococcal disease by 54%, 48%, and 25%, respectively. Vaccination of all 3 age groups was predicted to reduce the incidence of meningococcal disease by 50% and mortality by 64%. Another study used discrete modeling to assess the effect of routine vaccination of adolescents with a quadrivalent conjugate vaccine against serotypes A, C, Y, and W-135 [33]. Routine adolescent vaccination (71% coverage of persons aged 12 years) reduced mortality by >46% and decreased the prevalence of outbreaks by 74%. When accounting for the role of herd immunity, as demonstrated in the UK meningococcal vaccination program, this model suggests that the benefits of routine

adolescent vaccination may be substantially greater than was previously predicted in economic models.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Several meningococcal vaccines in advanced development have the potential to fill current gaps in prevention. Although in the United States, there is currently no vaccine licensed to prevent serogroup B disease, novel vaccines containing recombinant human factor H binding protein are undergoing clinical trials [34, 35]. A novel quadrivalent meningococcal vaccine conjugated to a nontoxic mutant of diphtheria toxin (cross-reactive material [CRM₁₉₇]) has been shown to be safe and immunogenic [36]. MenACWY was compared with MCV4 in adolescents in a phase 3 clinical trial [37]. The human complement serum bactericidal assay geometric mean titers with Men-ACWY-CRM were higher than those with MCV4 (geometric mean titer ratios of 1.6, 1.3, 2.0, and 2.8 for serogroups A, C,

Table 4. Recommendations for Use of Meningococcal Vaccines in Persons Not Previously Vaccinated

	Age group, years				
Population	<2	2–10	11–19	20–55	>55
General population	Not recommended	Not recommended	A single dose of MCV4 is recommended at age 11–12 years at preado- lescent visit or at high school entry	Not recommended	Not recommended
Groups at increased risk ^a	Not usually recommended	A single dose of MCV4 is preferred (MPSV4 is an acceptable alternative)	A single dose of MCV4 is preferred (MPSV4 is an acceptable alternative)	A single dose of MCV4 is preferred (MPSV4 is an acceptable alternative)	A single dose of MPSV4 ^b

NOTE. Derived from [5]. MCV4, quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine; MPSV4, quadrivalent meningococcal polysaccharide diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine.

^a See Table 5 for additional information on groups at increased risk.

^b MCV4 is not licensed for persons >55 years of age.

W-135, and Y, respectively), meeting predetermined superiority criteria. When compared with MCV4, the proportion of study participants receiving MenACWY-CRM with postvaccination human complement serum bactericidal assay titers \geq 1:8 was superior for serogroups A, W-135, and Y and noninferior for serogroup C. MenACWY-CRM was well tolerated, and neither vaccine was associated with a serious adverse event. The MenACWY-CRM vaccine has been shown to be immunogenic in infants [38] and could potentially fill an important need in an age group in which the incidence of IMD is high and in groups for which no vaccine is available [39]. Another vaccine in advanced development combines *H. influenzae* type b with meningococcal serogroups C and Y conjugated to tetanus toxoid. This vaccine is immunogenic in infants and, if approved, may provide bivalent meningococcal coverage without adding

Table 5. Persons at Increased Risk for Meningococcal Disease

Population		
College freshmen living in dormitories		
Certain travelers		
Travelers to or near areas where <i>Neisseria meningitidis</i> infec- tion is hyperendemic or epidemic or where there is an in- creased risk of exposure		
Visitors to the "meningitis belt" of sub-Saharan Africa		
Travelers to Saudi Arabia during the Hajj		
Certain microbiologists and/or laboratory workers routinely exposed to <i>N. meningitidis</i>		
Certain populations experiencing outbreaks (information on the use of vaccination in outbreak settings is available from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)		
Military recruits		
Persons with increased susceptibility		
Persons with terminal complement-component deficiencies		
Persons with anatomic or functional asplenia		

Persons with HIV infection^a **NOTE.** Derived from [5].

^a Vaccination is elective. The efficacy of MCV4 in HIV-infected patients is unknown.

to the number of required infant vaccines [40]. A comprehensive review of investigational meningococcal vaccines can be found in this Supplement [41].

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations for vaccination to protect against meningococcal disease are based on several considerations. IMD can be serious and rapidly progressive. Within 24–48 h, IMD can cause precipitous disease in healthy young people, providing little time for diagnosis and treatment [3]. In the early stages, symptoms of meningococcal disease can be similar to those of viral illnesses. *N. meningitidis* is the most common agent causing bacterial meningitis among US children and adolescents, making prevention a public health priority.

Routine immunization. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends routine vaccination with a single dose of MCV4 for all persons aged 11–18 years (Table 4). Ideally, vaccination occurs at the preadolescent health care visit at age 11–12 years; the ACIP, the American Academy of Pediatrics, and the Society for Adolescent Medicine recommend that persons in this age group receive vaccines and other preventive care services [5, 42, 43]. Persons who do not receive vaccine during a visit when they are aged 11–12 years should be offered the vaccine at the first appropriate health care visit or at preventive visits at ages 13–18 years [44].

Vaccination is also recommended for persons 2–55 years of age who are at elevated risk of meningococcal infection (Table 5). Although CDC recommendations for college-aged persons specify freshmen living in dormitories, the feasibility of targeting vaccination on campuses has led some schools to recommend vaccination of all incoming freshmen and other college students who wish to decrease their risk of meningococcal disease [5]. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that those at increased risk of meningococcal disease who received MPSV4 \geq 3 years previously should receive MCV4 [45].

Vaccine selection. MCV4 conjugate vaccine is preferred for vaccine recipients aged 2–55 years. In 2007, the US Food and



Figure 2. Meningococcal vaccine coverage among adolescents in the National Immunization Survey Teen Module [48].

Drug Administration approved MCV4 for use in children aged 2–10 years on the basis of results of clinical trials comparing MCV4 with MPSV4 in children [29]. MCV4 is not indicated for children <2 years of age. MPSV4 is the only meningococcal vaccine indicated for adults >55 years of age and is recommended for persons at increased risk of meningococcal disease who are >55 years of age.

Pregnancy. Studies have not shown adverse effects in women who receive MPSV4 during pregnancy or in their newborns [44, 45]. Although there are insufficient data on the safety of MCV4 during pregnancy, available data do not rule out the use of MPSV4 in pregnant women when indicated. Because of the short duration of protection conferred by MPSV4, the decision whether to vaccinate with MPSV4 during pregnancy or to wait until after delivery depends on the nature of the risk and the reasons for considering vaccination.

Immunosuppressed persons. MCV4 and MPSV4 are inactivated vaccines and may be considered for use in persons who are immunosuppressed because of disease or medication use. Depending on the nature of the immunosuppression, antibody response may be suboptimal. Patients undergoing elective splenectomy should receive meningococcal vaccination ≥ 2 weeks before surgery [5].

Revaccination. Persons 2–55 years of age who remain at increased risk of meningococcal disease 5 years after vaccination (with MCV4 or MPSV4) should be revaccinated with MCV4. Children who first received MCV4 and MPSV4 at ages 2–6 years and remain at risk should be revaccinated with MCV4 3 years after receipt of their first meningococcal vaccine [46].

OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES OF ADOLESCENT IMMUNIZATION

Since 2005, the ACIP has made 4 new vaccine recommendations for adolescents: MCV4; tetanus, diphtheria, and acellular pertussis; human papillomavirus quadrivalent vaccine; and annual influenza vaccine [31]. In addition, the ACIP recommends that adolescents receive any incomplete or missing childhood vaccinations and be evaluated for vaccines on the basis of specific risk. In the future, other vaccines are likely to be added to the list of recommended adolescent vaccines [47].

Vaccine coverage of adolescents. Immunizations can significantly reduce morbidity and mortality among adolescents and provide an important bridge to a longer, healthier adulthood. Across the spectrum of health issues that young people face, vaccine-preventable diseases are among the few for which proven interventions exist, many of which are cost effective. Despite the resounding success of infant immunization programs in the United States, the health care system does not have well-developed methods for vaccinating adolescents, and vaccine coverage among persons 11–18 years of age remains alarmingly low. The CDC's 2007 National Immunization Survey Teen Module showed that, for all vaccines studied, none achieved the *Healthy People 2010* goal of 90% coverage [48]. Only 32% of adolescents had received 1 dose of MCV4 (Figure 2).

Strategies to improve adolescent immunization. There are many reasons why vaccine coverage is low among adolescents. Although ~86% of children aged 6–17 years had at least 1 visit to a health care provider in 2006 [49], only approximately one-



Figure 3. Number of preventive care visits for adolescents [51]. Used with permission from the *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine,* 2007; 161:252–259. Copyright © 2007 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

third of adolescents access annual preventive services [50]. Data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey showed that the number of preventive visits decreases dramatically after 13 years of age, and there is a precipitous decrease in the number of nonpreventive visits for male individuals aged >15 years (Figure 3) [51]. Nonpreventive visits provide an important opportunity to screen for immunization status and to administer needed vaccines. Recommended vaccines can be administered in the presence of minor illness, such as diarrhea or respiratory tract infection with or without fever [5].

Data clearly reveal that opportunities for vaccination are frequently missed. A survey of 1480 physicians found that, even among physicians who vaccinated adolescents during 95% of health-maintenance preventive visits, only 23% provided vaccines during nonpreventive encounters and fewer than half checked immunization status during follow-up visits [52]. Furthermore, only 21% of physicians used immunization tracking systems or recall. Another study found that family physicians and pediatricians who were aware of adolescent vaccinations were less likely to assess immunization status and to offer indicated vaccines to persons 14-21 years of age, compared with younger adolescents [53]. A study evaluated how frequently an indicated vaccine was administered among ~24,000 eligible adolescents enrolled in the Harvard Pilgrim Health Care system from 1997 through 2004 [54]. The results showed >87,000 opportunities when a needed vaccine was not given, or 4.8 missed opportunities per adolescent.

Remaining challenges. Adolescent care is a rapidly changing area of medicine. Although delivery of health care to adolescents is complex, the increasing number of recommended adolescent vaccines will continue to create opportunities to enhance access to preventive services [47].

Several aspects of adolescent immunization continue to challenge health care providers and policy makers. Knowledge of vaccines and vaccine-preventable diseases is crucial and requires enhanced education of providers, parents, and patients [53, 55]. Professional guidelines remain one of the strongest motivators for clinicians to recommend a vaccine [56]. The CDC's National Vaccine Advisory Committee recently reported its policy and health care infrastructure recommendations for success in the new era of adolescent vaccines [57]. Among the priorities that the CDC identified were improved adolescent access to existing venues of care, such as vaccination during acute or nonpreventive visits, and enhanced opportunities for alternative venues for vaccination. In addition, consent laws need to be clarified and standardized. School mandates have been proven to increase vaccination rates effectively [58, 59]. In 2008, 13 US states required meningococcal vaccine or waiver for incoming college and university students, and 23 states required education only [60]. Only 7 US states required meningococcal vaccine or waivers for students in elementary and secondary schools.

CONCLUSIONS

Meningococcal disease is a serious health threat. With rapid onset, nonspecific symptoms, and a high associated case-fatality rate even after treatment, life-threatening meningococcal infections are a priority for prevention. Although the shifting epidemiology and cyclic nature of IMD suggest that these illnesses will continue to challenge public health, they are preventable by vaccination. Meningococcal conjugate vaccine is routinely recommended for all persons 11-18 years of age and those 2–55 years of age who are at increased risk. The expanding recommendations for vaccinations during adolescence highlight the challenges of immunization for this population. Although preventive care visits are far less frequent for adolescents than for infants or toddlers, the majority of adolescents have at least 1 health care encounter annually, suggesting that opportunities for vaccinations are being missed. Strategies are needed to overcome barriers to preventive services in this age group. Capturing opportunities to administer vaccines during nonpreventive care visits is a strategy that can be used immediately to increase vaccine coverage. Future efforts are also needed to address other barriers, such as deficiencies in provider and parent education, adolescent communication, public awareness, consent laws, and lagging school mandates. Although current vaccine coverage among adolescents is inadequate, it is improving. New, safe, and effective vaccines hold promise for decreasing morbidity and mortality in this age

Acknowledgments

I thank D. Ravyn, PhD, MPH, who provided editorial assistance with the initial draft and collecting references.

Potential conflicts of interest. G.A.P. has received research funding from Merck, Novavax, Protein Sciences Corp, and Wyeth and has provided consultation for new vaccine development for Avianax, CSL Biotherapies, CSL Limited, Emergent Biosolutions, GlaxoSmithKline, Liquidia Technologies, Merck, Novartis Vaccines, Novavax, and PaxVax.

Supplement sponsorship. This article was published as part of a supplement entitled "Immunization to Prevent Meningococcal Disease: Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow," which was sponsored by DIME and funded through an educational grant from Novartis Vaccines.

References

- Albrich WC, Baughman W, Schmotzer B, Farley MM. Changing characteristics of invasive pneumococcal disease in metropolitan Atlanta, Georgia, after introduction of a 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44:1569–1576.
- Schuchat A, Robinson K, Wenger JD, et al. Bacterial meningitis in the United States in 1995. Active Surveillance Team. N Engl J Med 1997; 337:970–976.
- Rosenstein NE, Perkins BA, Stephens DS, Popovic T, Hughes JM. Meningococcal disease. N Engl J Med 2001; 344:1378–1388.
- Erickson LJ, De Wals P, McMahon J, Heim S. Complications of meningococcal disease in college students. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33: 737–739.
- Bilukha OO, Rosenstein N; National Center for Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention and control of meningococcal disease: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep 2005; 54:1–21.
- 6. Granoff D. Meningococcal Vaccines. In: Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA, Offit PA, eds. Vaccines. 5th ed. Philaelphia, PA: Saunders, **2008**.
- Sharip A, Sorvillo F, Redelings MD, Mascola L, Wise M, Nguyen DM. Population-based analysis of meningococcal disease mortality in the United States: 1990–2002. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2006; 25:191–194.
- Rosenstein NE, Perkins BA, Stephens DS, et al. The changing epidemiology of meningococcal disease in the United States, 1992–1996. J Infect Dis 1999; 180:1894–1901.
- Diermayer M, Hedberg K, Hoesly F, et al. Epidemic serogroup B meningococcal disease in Oregon: the evolving epidemiology of the ET-5 strain. JAMA 1999; 281:1493–1497.
- Imrey PB, Jackson LA, Ludwinski PH, et al. Meningococcal carriage, alcohol consumption, and campus bar patronage in a serogroup C meningococcal disease outbreak. J Clin Microbiol 1995; 33:3133–3137.
- Imrey PB, Jackson LA, Ludwinski PH, et al. Outbreak of serogroup C meningococcal disease associated with campus bar patronage. Am J Epidemiol 1996;143:624–630.
- Menomune-A/C/Y/W-135 (meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine groups A C, Y and W-135 combined) [package insert]. Swiftwater, PA: Sanofi Pasteur, 2005.
- Makela PH, Kayhty H, Weckstrom P, Sivonen A, Renkonen OV. Effect of group-A meningococcal vaccine in army recruits in Finland. Lancet 1975; 2:883–886.
- 14. Peltola H, Makela H, Kayhty H, et al. Clinical efficacy of meningococcus group A capsular polysaccharide vaccine in children three months to five years of age. N Engl J Med **1977**; 297:686–691.
- Goldschneider I, Lepow ML, Gotschlich EC, Mauck FT, Bachl F, Randolph M. Immunogenicity of group A and group C meningococcal polysaccharides in human infants. J Infect Dis 1973; 128:769–776.

- Rosenstein N, Levine O, Taylor JP, et al. Efficacy of meningococcal vaccine and barriers to vaccination. JAMA 1998;279:435–439.
- 17. Reingold AL, Broome CV, Hightower AW, et al. Age-specific differences in duration of clinical protection after vaccination with meningococcal polysaccharide A vaccine. Lancet **1985**; 2:114–118.
- Griffiss JM, Brandt BL, Broud DD. Human immune response to various doses of group Y and W135 meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines. Infect Immun 1982; 37:205–208.
- 19. Ambrosch F, Wiedermann G, Crooy P, George AM. Immunogenicity and side-effects of a new tetravalent meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine. Bull World Health Organ **1983**;61:317–323.
- Moore PS, Harrison LH, Telzak EE, Ajello GW, Broome CV. Group A meningococcal carriage in travelers returning from Saudi Arabia. JAMA 1988; 260:2686–2689.
- Hassan-King MK, Wall RA, Greenwood BM. Meningococcal carriage, meningococcal disease and vaccination. J Infect 1988;16:55–59.
- Maiden MC, Stuart JM. Carriage of serogroup C meningococci l year after meningococcal C conjugate polysaccharide vaccination. Lancet 2002; 359:1829–1831.
- Miller E, Salisbury D, Ramsay M. Planning, registration, and implementation of an immunisation campaign against meningococcal serogroup C disease in the UK: a success story. Vaccine 2001;20(Suppl 1):S58–S67.
- 24. Ramsay ME, Andrews NJ, Trotter CL, Kaczmarski EB, Miller E. Herd immunity from meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccination in England: database analysis. BMJ **2003**; 326:365–366.
- 25. Ramsay ME, Andrews N, Kaczmarski EB, Miller E. Efficacy of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine in teenagers and toddlers in England. Lancet **2001**; 357:195–196.
- Menactra (meningococcal [groups A C, Y and W-135] polysaccharide diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine) [package insert]. Swiftwater, PA: Sanofi Pasteur, 2008.
- 27. Keyserling H, Papa T, Koranyi K, et al. Safety, immunogenicity, and immune memory of a novel meningococcal (groups A, C, Y, and W-135) polysaccharide diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine (MCV-4) in healthy adolescents. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med **2005**; 159:907–913.
- US Food and Drug Administration. Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research. Product approval information licensing action. 2005. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ Vaccines/approvedproducts/UCM131185.pdf. Accessed 7 January 2010.
- 29. Pichichero M, Casey J, Blatter M, et al. Comparative trial of the safety and immunogenicity of quadrivalent (A, C, Y, W-135) meningococcal polysaccharide-diphtheria conjugate vaccine versus quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine in two- to ten-year-old children. Pediatr Infect Dis J **2005**; 24:57–62.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Update: Guillain-Barre syndrome among recipients of Menactra meningococcal conjugate vaccine, United States, June 2005. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2006; 55:1120.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommended immunization schedules for persons aged 0 through 18 years—United States, 2009. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2009; 57:Q1–Q4.
- 32. Lingappa JR, Rosenstein N, Zell ER, Shutt KA, Schuchat A, Perkins BA. Surveillance for meningococcal disease and strategies for use of conjugate meningococcal vaccines in the United States. Vaccine 2001; 19:4566–4575.
- Caro JJ, Moller J, Getsios D, et al. Invasive meningococcal disease epidemiology and control measures: a framework for evaluation. BMC Public Health 2007; 7:130.
- 34. Snape MD, Dawson T, Morant A, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a novel serogroup B Neisseria meningitidis vaccine administered from 6 months of age. In: Program and abstract of the 16th International Pathogenic Neisseria Conference (Rotterdam, Amsterdam). 7–12 September 2008. Abstract O69.
- 35. Richmond R, Marshall H, Nissen MD, et al. A randomized, observerblinded, active control, phase 1 trial of meningococcal serogroup B

- 36. Jackson LA, Baxter R, Reisinger K, Shah K, Bedell L, Dull P. 26th Annual Meeting of the European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases. In: Program and abstracts of the Pediatric Academic Society Annual Meeting (Honolulu, HI). 3–6 May 2008. Abstract 5628.8.
- Jackson LA, Baxter R, Reisinger K, et al. Phase III comparison of an investigational quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine with the licensed meningococcal ACWY conjugate vaccine in adolescents. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 49:e1–e10.
- Snape MD, Perrett KP, Ford KJ, et al. Immunogenicity of a tetravalent meningococcal glycoconjugate vaccine in infants: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2008; 299:173–184.
- Harrison LH. A multivalent conjugate vaccine for prevention of meningococcal disease in infants. JAMA 2008; 299:217–219.
- 40. Miller JB, Friedland L, de la Bourdonnaye G, de Vleeschauwer I, Boutriau D. Immunogenicity and safety of an onivestigational combined *Haemophilus influenzae* type b and *Neisseria meningitidis* sergroups C and Y conjugate in healthy infants compared to licensed controls. Toronto, Canada: Pediatric Academic Societies, 5–8 May 2007. Abstract 6293.1
- Granoff D. Review of meningococcal group B vaccines. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50(Suppl 2):S54–S65 (in this supplement).
- 42. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Infectious Diseases. Prevention and control of meningococcal disease: recommendations for use of meningococcal vaccines in pediatric patients. Pediatrics **2005**; 116:496–505.
- Middleman AB, Rosenthal SL, Rickert VI, Neinstein L, Fishbein DB, D'Angelo L. Adolescent immunizations: a position paper of the Society for Adolescent Medicine. J Adolesc Health 2006; 38:321–327.
- Letson GW, Little JR, Ottman J, Miller GL. Meningococcal vaccine in pregnancy: an assessment of infant risk. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998; 17: 261–263.
- 45. McCormick JB, Gusmao HH, Nakamura S, et al. Antibody response to serogroup A and C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines in infants born of mothers vaccinated during pregnancy. J Clin Invest 1980; 65: 1141–1144.
- 46. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Updated recommendation from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) for

revaccination of persons at prolonged increased risk for meningococcal disease. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2009**; 58;1042–1043.

- Rupp R, Rosenthal SL, Middleman AB. Vaccination: an opportunity to enhance early adolescent preventative services. J Adolesc Health 2006; 39:461–464.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vaccination coverage among adolescents aged 13–17 years—United States, 2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2008; 57:1100.
- 49. National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2007. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, **2007**.
- 50. McInerny TK, Cull WL, Yudkowsky BK. Physician reimbursement levels and adherence to American Academy of Pediatrics well-visit and immunization recommendations. Pediatrics **2005**; 115:833–838.
- Rand CM, Shone LP, Albertin C, Auinger P, Klein JD, Szilagyi PG. National health care visit patterns of adolescents: implications for delivery of new adolescent vaccines. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2007; 161:252–259.
- Schaffer SJ, Humiston SG, Shone LP, Averhoff FM, Szilagyi PG. Adolescent immunization practices: a national survey of US physicians. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2001; 155:566–571.
- Oster NV, McPhillips-Tangum CA, Averhoff F, Howell K. Barriers to adolescent immunization: a survey of family physicians and pediatricians. J Am Board Fam Pract 2005; 18:13–19.
- Lee GM, Lorick SA, Pfoh E, Kleinman K, Fishbein D. Adolescent immunizations: missed opportunities for prevention. Pediatrics 2008; 122:711–717.
- 55. Middleman AB. Adolescent immunizations: policies to provide a shot in the arm for adolescents. J Adolesc Health **2007**; 41:109–118.
- 56. Schaffer SJ, Fontanesi J, Rickert D, et al.; Working Group on Complementary Settings. How effectively can health care settings beyond the traditional medical home provide vaccines to adolescents? Pediatrics 2008; 121(Suppl 1):S35–S45.
- The promise and challenge of adolescent immunization. Am J Prev Med 2008; 35:152–157.
- Averhoff F, Linton L, Peddecord KM, Edwards C, Wang W, Fishbein D. A middle school immunization law rapidly and substantially increases immunization coverage among adolescents. Am J Public Health 2004; 94:978–984.
- Hinman AR, Orenstein WA, Williamson DE, Darrington D. Childhood immunization: laws that work. J Law Med Ethics 2002; 30:122–127.
- Immunization Action Coalition. Meningococcal prevention mandates. Available at: http://www.immunize.org. Accessed 15 February 2009.